

P, NP and Intractability

CS 4104: Data and Algorithm Analysis

Yoseph Berhanu Alebachew

May 11, 2025

Virginia Tech

- 1. Introduction
- 2. The Clique Problem
- 3. Definitions
- 4. The Independent Set Problem
- 5. Conclusion

Introduction

• Throughout this course, we adopted a definition of efficient algorithm to mean any algorithm with a polynomial running time.

- Throughout this course, we adopted a definition of efficient algorithm to mean any algorithm with a polynomial running time.
- We call all these class of algorithms P.

- Throughout this course, we adopted a definition of efficient algorithm to mean any algorithm with a polynomial running time.
- We call all these class of algorithms P.
- P refers to the fact that the algorithms have polynomial running time.

- Throughout this course, we adopted a definition of efficient algorithm to mean any algorithm with a polynomial running time.
- We call all these class of algorithms P.
- P refers to the fact that the algorithms have polynomial running time.
- This served as to identify problems with no known efficient solution

- Throughout this course, we adopted a definition of efficient algorithm to mean any algorithm with a polynomial running time.
- We call all these class of algorithms P.
- P refers to the fact that the algorithms have polynomial running time.
- This served as to identify problems with no known efficient solution
- However, we've seen that some modified versions of problems we discussed don't have efficient solutions.

- Throughout this course, we adopted a definition of efficient algorithm to mean any algorithm with a polynomial running time.
- We call all these class of algorithms P.
- P refers to the fact that the algorithms have polynomial running time.
- This served as to identify problems with no known efficient solution
- However, we've seen that some modified versions of problems we discussed don't have efficient solutions.
- Instead, the best known algorithms for these class of problems are exponential at best (if they exist).

• Find the shortest path from a source node to a destination node in a weighted graph.

- Find the shortest path from a source node to a destination node in a weighted graph.
- **DP/Greedy Solution**: Algorithms like Dijkstra's algorithm or Bellman-Ford algorithm solve this problem in polynomial time.

- Find the shortest path from a source node to a destination node in a weighted graph.
- **DP/Greedy Solution**: Algorithms like Dijkstra's algorithm or Bellman-Ford algorithm solve this problem in polynomial time.

Modified Problem:

• Introduce negative weight cycles in the graph, or constraints that paths must pass through specific intermediate nodes or avoid certain nodes altogether.

- Find the shortest path from a source node to a destination node in a weighted graph.
- **DP/Greedy Solution**: Algorithms like Dijkstra's algorithm or Bellman-Ford algorithm solve this problem in polynomial time.

Modified Problem:

- Introduce negative weight cycles in the graph, or constraints that paths must pass through specific intermediate nodes or avoid certain nodes altogether.
- Finding shortest paths in such modified graphs can make the problem NP-hard or undecidable.

• Determine if there is a subset of a given set of integers that sums up to a given target.

- Determine if there is a subset of a given set of integers that sums up to a given target.
- **DP Solution**: The problem can be solved using dynamic programming in pseudo-polynomial time, specifically *O*(*n* * *target*).

- Determine if there is a subset of a given set of integers that sums up to a given target.
- **DP Solution**: The problem can be solved using dynamic programming in pseudo-polynomial time, specifically *O*(*n* * *target*).

Modified Problem:

• Add additional constraints such as requiring certain subsets to be excluded from consideration or requiring that the subset elements satisfy additional arbitrary constraints.

- Determine if there is a subset of a given set of integers that sums up to a given target.
- **DP Solution**: The problem can be solved using dynamic programming in pseudo-polynomial time, specifically *O*(*n* * *target*).

Modified Problem:

- Add additional constraints such as requiring certain subsets to be excluded from consideration or requiring that the subset elements satisfy additional arbitrary constraints.
- These modifications can make the problem NP-hard.

• Find a subset of edges in a weighted graph that connects all vertices with the minimum total edge weight.

- Find a subset of edges in a weighted graph that connects all vertices with the minimum total edge weight.
- **Greedy Solution**: Algorithms like Kruskal's or Prim's algorithm solve this problem in polynomial time.

- Find a subset of edges in a weighted graph that connects all vertices with the minimum total edge weight.
- **Greedy Solution**: Algorithms like Kruskal's or Prim's algorithm solve this problem in polynomial time.

Modified Problem:

 Add constraints that some edges must or must not be included, or introduce dependencies between edges, where selecting one edge requires or forbids selecting another.

- Find a subset of edges in a weighted graph that connects all vertices with the minimum total edge weight.
- **Greedy Solution**: Algorithms like Kruskal's or Prim's algorithm solve this problem in polynomial time.

Modified Problem:

- Add constraints that some edges must or must not be included, or introduce dependencies between edges, where selecting one edge requires or forbids selecting another.
- These modifications can turn the problem into an NP-hard problem.

• Find the shortest possible route that visits each city exactly once and returns to the origin city.

- Find the shortest possible route that visits each city exactly once and returns to the origin city.
- **DP Solution**: The problem can be solved using dynamic programming with Held-Karp algorithm in $O(n^2 * 2^n)$ time, which is not polynomial but is the best known exact approach.

- Find the shortest possible route that visits each city exactly once and returns to the origin city.
- **DP Solution**: The problem can be solved using dynamic programming with Held-Karp algorithm in $O(n^2 * 2^n)$ time, which is not polynomial but is the best known exact approach.
- Modified Problem:
 - Introduce constraints such as visiting certain cities in a specific order or having variable costs that depend on the sequence of cities visited.

- Find the shortest possible route that visits each city exactly once and returns to the origin city.
- **DP Solution**: The problem can be solved using dynamic programming with Held-Karp algorithm in $O(n^2 * 2^n)$ time, which is not polynomial but is the best known exact approach.
- Modified Problem:
 - Introduce constraints such as visiting certain cities in a specific order or having variable costs that depend on the sequence of cities visited.
 - These constraints can turn the problem into an even more complex version that remains NP-hard and lacks a known polynomial-time solution.

• Assign colors to the vertices of a graph so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color using the fewest number of colors.

- Assign colors to the vertices of a graph so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color using the fewest number of colors.
- Greedy/DP Solution: The problem can be approximated using greedy algorithms, such as the Welsh-Powell algorithm, which can provide a solution in polynomial time for certain types of graphs.

- Assign colors to the vertices of a graph so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color using the fewest number of colors.
- Greedy/DP Solution: The problem can be approximated using greedy algorithms, such as the Welsh-Powell algorithm, which can provide a solution in polynomial time for certain types of graphs.
- Modified Problem:
 - Introduce constraints such as specific vertices needing to be a certain color or certain pairs of vertices needing to have different colors.

- Assign colors to the vertices of a graph so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color using the fewest number of colors.
- Greedy/DP Solution: The problem can be approximated using greedy algorithms, such as the Welsh-Powell algorithm, which can provide a solution in polynomial time for certain types of graphs.
- Modified Problem:
 - Introduce constraints such as specific vertices needing to be a certain color or certain pairs of vertices needing to have different colors.
 - Add restrictions where the coloring must adhere to additional conditions, like distance constraints (vertices within a certain distance must also have different colors).

- Assign colors to the vertices of a graph so that no two adjacent vertices share the same color using the fewest number of colors.
- Greedy/DP Solution: The problem can be approximated using greedy algorithms, such as the Welsh-Powell algorithm, which can provide a solution in polynomial time for certain types of graphs.

Modified Problem:

- Introduce constraints such as specific vertices needing to be a certain color or certain pairs of vertices needing to have different colors.
- Add restrictions where the coloring must adhere to additional conditions, like distance constraints (vertices within a certain distance must also have different colors).
- These modifications can turn the problem into an NP-hard problem, making it infeasible to solve in polynomial time.

• Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems

- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.

- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.
 - The reverse is also true: hard problems can be solved efficiently if we impose certain constraints on the problem definition.

- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.
 - The reverse is also true: hard problems can be solved efficiently if we impose certain constraints on the problem definition.
- Practical Importance

- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.
 - The reverse is also true: hard problems can be solved efficiently if we impose certain constraints on the problem definition.

• Practical Importance

• These problems are very practical in the real world, and having efficient solutions for them can make a significant impact.

- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.
 - The reverse is also true: hard problems can be solved efficiently if we impose certain constraints on the problem definition.

• Practical Importance

- These problems are very practical in the real world, and having efficient solutions for them can make a significant impact.
- Many of these problems are interconnected.
- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.
 - The reverse is also true: hard problems can be solved efficiently if we impose certain constraints on the problem definition.

• Practical Importance

- These problems are very practical in the real world, and having efficient solutions for them can make a significant impact.
- Many of these problems are interconnected.
 - Solving one hard problem could potentially lead to solutions for other related problems.

- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.
 - The reverse is also true: hard problems can be solved efficiently if we impose certain constraints on the problem definition.

• Practical Importance

- These problems are very practical in the real world, and having efficient solutions for them can make a significant impact.
- Many of these problems are interconnected.
 - Solving one hard problem could potentially lead to solutions for other related problems.

• Role of Heuristics and Approximation Algorithms

- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.
 - The reverse is also true: hard problems can be solved efficiently if we impose certain constraints on the problem definition.

• Practical Importance

- These problems are very practical in the real world, and having efficient solutions for them can make a significant impact.
- Many of these problems are interconnected.
 - Solving one hard problem could potentially lead to solutions for other related problems.

• Role of Heuristics and Approximation Algorithms

• For problems that are difficult or impossible to solve exactly in polynomial time, heuristics and approximation algorithms can provide near-optimal solutions in a reasonable amount of time.

- Fine Line Between Easy and Hard Problems
 - Problems that have efficient solutions can be easily modified to have no known efficient solutions.
 - The reverse is also true: hard problems can be solved efficiently if we impose certain constraints on the problem definition.

• Practical Importance

- These problems are very practical in the real world, and having efficient solutions for them can make a significant impact.
- Many of these problems are interconnected.
 - Solving one hard problem could potentially lead to solutions for other related problems.

• Role of Heuristics and Approximation Algorithms

- For problems that are difficult or impossible to solve exactly in polynomial time, heuristics and approximation algorithms can provide near-optimal solutions in a reasonable amount of time.
- Understanding when and how to use these techniques is crucial for tackling real-world problems that are NP-hard.

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists a clique of size *k*.
- A clique is a subset of vertices such that every two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- Given an undirected graph G, the problem can take different forms
 - Is the graph G a clique ?

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists a clique of size *k*.
- A clique is a subset of vertices such that every two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- Given an undirected graph G, the problem can take different forms
 - Is the graph G a clique ?
 - A polynomial solution exists $O(|V|^2)$

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists a clique of size *k*.
- A clique is a subset of vertices such that every two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- Given an undirected graph G, the problem can take different forms
 - Is the graph G a clique ?
 - A polynomial solution exists $O(|V|^2)$
 - Is there a clique within G ?

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists a clique of size *k*.
- A clique is a subset of vertices such that every two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- Given an undirected graph G, the problem can take different forms
 - Is the graph G a clique ?
 - A polynomial solution exists $O(|V|^2)$
 - Is there a clique within G ?
 - Is there a clique within G of size k?

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists a clique of size *k*.
- A clique is a subset of vertices such that every two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- Given an undirected graph G, the problem can take different forms
 - Is the graph G a clique ?
 - A polynomial solution exists $O(|V|^2)$
 - Is there a clique within G ?
 - Is there a clique within G of size k?
 - Which nodes and edges make such a clique ?

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists a clique of size *k*.
- A clique is a subset of vertices such that every two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- Given an undirected graph G, the problem can take different forms
 - Is the graph G a clique ?
 - A polynomial solution exists $O(|V|^2)$
 - Is there a clique within G ?
 - Is there a clique within G of size k?
 - Which nodes and edges make such a clique ?
- No known polynomial-time solution exists for the last three
- Brute Force Solution: Check all possible subsets of vertices of size *k* to see if they form a clique, which takes exponential time.









- No known polynomial-time solution exists for the last three
- Brute Force Solution: Check all possible subsets of vertices of size *k* to see if they form a clique, which takes exponential time.

- No known polynomial-time solution exists for the last three
- Brute Force Solution: Check all possible subsets of vertices of size *k* to see if they form a clique, which takes exponential time.
- Since verifying a proposed clique takes polynomial time the clique problem is *NP*

Definitions

• A certificate is a solution to a problem that can be verified in polynomial time.

- A certificate is a solution to a problem that can be verified in polynomial time.
- For NP problems, given a "yes" answer, a certificate exists that can be checked quickly to confirm the answer is correct.

- A certificate is a solution to a problem that can be verified in polynomial time.
- For NP problems, given a "yes" answer, a certificate exists that can be checked quickly to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Example: For the Hamiltonian Path problem, a certificate is a specific sequence of vertices that forms a Hamiltonian Path.
- For Co-NP problems, given a "no" answer, a certificate exists that can be checked quickly to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Example: For the Composite Number problem, a Co-NP problem, a certificate for a "no" answer (i.e., the number is prime) is a demonstration that there are no factors other than 1 and the number itself.

• A decision yes/no problem of input size n can be solved in $O(n^c)$ time for any constant c, the problem is in the complexity class P (Polynomial)

P and NP

- A decision yes/no problem of input size n can be solved in $O(n^c)$ time for any constant c, the problem is in the complexity class P (Polynomial)
 - E.g., Is the graph G a clique ?

- A decision yes/no problem of input size n can be solved in $O(n^c)$ time for any constant c, the problem is in the complexity class P (Polynomial)
 - $\bullet\,$ E.g., Is the graph G a clique ?
- If, for all yes instances of a decision problem of input size , there exists a **certificate**, that can be **verified** in polynomial time, we say it is in class *NP* (Nondeterministic Polynomial)

- A decision yes/no problem of input size n can be solved in $O(n^c)$ time for any constant c, the problem is in the complexity class P (Polynomial)
 - $\bullet\,$ E.g., Is the graph G a clique ?
- If, for all yes instances of a decision problem of input size , there exists a **certificate**, that can be **verified** in polynomial time, we say it is in class *NP* (Nondeterministic Polynomial)
 - E.g., Is there a clique within G ?

- A decision yes/no problem of input size n can be solved in $O(n^c)$ time for any constant c, the problem is in the complexity class P (Polynomial)
 - $\bullet\,$ E.g., Is the graph G a clique ?
- If, for all yes instances of a decision problem of input size , there exists a **certificate**, that can be **verified** in polynomial time, we say it is in class *NP* (Nondeterministic Polynomial)
 - E.g., Is there a clique within G ?
 - If we find some magical procedure to propose a sub graph we can verify if it is a clique in polynomial time

- A decision yes/no problem of input size n can be solved in $O(n^c)$ time for any constant c, the problem is in the complexity class P (Polynomial)
 - $\bullet\,$ E.g., Is the graph G a clique ?
- If, for all yes instances of a decision problem of input size , there exists a **certificate**, that can be **verified** in polynomial time, we say it is in class *NP* (Nondeterministic Polynomial)
 - E.g., Is there a clique within G ?
 - If we find some magical procedure to propose a sub graph we can verify if it is a clique in polynomial time
 - These class of problems are *yes heavy* we can check yes cases in polynomial time not the no cases

proc solve(input):
 // This step is not well defined, hence, non-deterministic
 // If this step is done in polynomial time
 // then we have a polynomial solution
 certificates = generateAllPossibleSolutions(input)

// Verify the given solutions for the problem
// This should run in polynomial time
for certificate in certificates:

if verifySolution(input, certificate):
 return certificate
return "No solution found"

• If you a have decision problem where negative instances are verified in polynomial time but you don't need to verify yes instances we say they are **Co-NP** (Complement is in NP)

- If you a have decision problem where negative instances are verified in polynomial time but you don't need to verify yes instances we say they are **Co-NP** (Complement is in NP)
- $P \subseteq NP$

- If you a have decision problem where negative instances are verified in polynomial time but you don't need to verify yes instances we say they are **Co-NP** (Complement is in NP)
- $P \subseteq NP$
- $P \subseteq Co NP$

- If you a have decision problem where negative instances are verified in polynomial time but you don't need to verify yes instances we say they are **Co-NP** (Complement is in NP)
- $P \subseteq NP$
- $P \subseteq Co NP$
 - For any instance of a problem in P, an empty certificate is sufficient

- If you a have decision problem where negative instances are verified in polynomial time but you don't need to verify yes instances we say they are **Co-NP** (Complement is in NP)
- $P \subseteq NP$
- $P \subseteq Co NP$
 - For any instance of a problem in P, an empty certificate is sufficient
 - We can verify that it is yes or no in polynomial time

- If you a have decision problem where negative instances are verified in polynomial time but you don't need to verify yes instances we say they are **Co-NP** (Complement is in NP)
- $P \subseteq NP$
- $P \subseteq Co NP$
 - For any instance of a problem in P, an empty certificate is sufficient
 - We can verify that it is yes or no in polynomial time
- $x \in NP$ is a statement of ease, not a statement of hardness

- If you a have decision problem where negative instances are verified in polynomial time but you don't need to verify yes instances we say they are **Co-NP** (Complement is in NP)
- $P \subseteq NP$
- $P \subseteq Co NP$
 - For any instance of a problem in P, an empty certificate is sufficient
 - We can verify that it is yes or no in polynomial time
- $x \in NP$ is a statement of ease, not a statement of hardness
- NP is an upper limit not a lower limit

Definitions: P (Polynomial Time)

• P (Polynomial Time)

- P is a class of problems that can be solved by an algorithm in polynomial time.
- Polynomial time means that the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^k)$ for some constant k, where n is the size of the input.
- Examples: Sorting algorithms like Merge Sort and Quick Sort, and searching algorithms like Binary Search.
Definitions: P (Polynomial Time)

• P (Polynomial Time)

- P is a class of problems that can be solved by an algorithm in polynomial time.
- Polynomial time means that the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^k)$ for some constant k, where n is the size of the input.
- Examples: Sorting algorithms like Merge Sort and Quick Sort, and searching algorithms like Binary Search.
- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.

Definitions: P (Polynomial Time)

• P (Polynomial Time)

- P is a class of problems that can be solved by an algorithm in polynomial time.
- Polynomial time means that the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^k)$ for some constant k, where n is the size of the input.
- Examples: Sorting algorithms like Merge Sort and Quick Sort, and searching algorithms like Binary Search.
- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - A problem is in NP if, for every instance where the answer is "yes," there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer.

Definitions: P (Polynomial Time)

• P (Polynomial Time)

- P is a class of problems that can be solved by an algorithm in polynomial time.
- Polynomial time means that the time complexity of the algorithm is $O(n^k)$ for some constant k, where n is the size of the input.
- Examples: Sorting algorithms like Merge Sort and Quick Sort, and searching algorithms like Binary Search.
- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - A problem is in NP if, for every instance where the answer is "yes," there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer.
 - Examples: Clique Problem, Satisfiability (SAT), Hamiltonian Path, Subset Sum.

• **Reduction** is a way of converting one problem to another problem.

- Reduction is a way of converting one problem to another problem.
- A problem A can be reduced to problem B if an algorithm for solving B efficiently (in polynomial time) can be used to solve A efficiently.

- Reduction is a way of converting one problem to another problem.
- A problem A can be reduced to problem B if an algorithm for solving B efficiently (in polynomial time) can be used to solve A efficiently.
- Reductions are used to prove the hardness of problems.
 - Examples: Reducing 3-SAT to the Hamiltonian Cycle problem to prove Hamiltonian Cycle is NP-hard.
 - 3-SAT <_p Hamiltonian Cycle

- Reduction is a way of converting one problem to another problem.
- A problem A can be reduced to problem B if an algorithm for solving B efficiently (in polynomial time) can be used to solve A efficiently.
- Reductions are used to prove the hardness of problems.
 - Examples: Reducing 3-SAT to the Hamiltonian Cycle problem to prove Hamiltonian Cycle is NP-hard.
 - 3-SAT <_p Hamiltonian Cycle
- A polynomial-time reduction is a process of transforming one problem into another in polynomial time.

- Reduction is a way of converting one problem to another problem.
- A problem A can be reduced to problem B if an algorithm for solving B efficiently (in polynomial time) can be used to solve A efficiently.
- Reductions are used to prove the hardness of problems.
 - Examples: Reducing 3-SAT to the Hamiltonian Cycle problem to prove Hamiltonian Cycle is NP-hard.
 - 3-SAT <_p Hamiltonian Cycle
- A polynomial-time reduction is a process of transforming one problem into another in polynomial time.
- Polynomial-time reductions are used to show that a problem is NP-hard.

- Reduction is a way of converting one problem to another problem.
- A problem A can be reduced to problem B if an algorithm for solving B efficiently (in polynomial time) can be used to solve A efficiently.
- Reductions are used to prove the hardness of problems.
 - Examples: Reducing 3-SAT to the Hamiltonian Cycle problem to prove Hamiltonian Cycle is NP-hard.
 - 3-SAT <_p Hamiltonian Cycle
- A polynomial-time reduction is a process of transforming one problem into another in polynomial time.
- Polynomial-time reductions are used to show that a problem is NP-hard.
- Reductions are transitive but not symmetric.

- Reduction is a way of converting one problem to another problem.
- A problem A can be reduced to problem B if an algorithm for solving B efficiently (in polynomial time) can be used to solve A efficiently.
- Reductions are used to prove the hardness of problems.
 - Examples: Reducing 3-SAT to the Hamiltonian Cycle problem to prove Hamiltonian Cycle is NP-hard.
 - 3-SAT <_p Hamiltonian Cycle
- A polynomial-time reduction is a process of transforming one problem into another in polynomial time.
- Polynomial-time reductions are used to show that a problem is NP-hard.
- Reductions are transitive but not symmetric.
 - If $X <_p Y$ and $Y <_p Z$, then $X <_p Z$.

- Reduction is a way of converting one problem to another problem.
- A problem A can be reduced to problem B if an algorithm for solving B efficiently (in polynomial time) can be used to solve A efficiently.
- Reductions are used to prove the hardness of problems.
 - Examples: Reducing 3-SAT to the Hamiltonian Cycle problem to prove Hamiltonian Cycle is NP-hard.
 - 3-SAT <_p Hamiltonian Cycle
- A polynomial-time reduction is a process of transforming one problem into another in polynomial time.
- Polynomial-time reductions are used to show that a problem is NP-hard.
- Reductions are transitive but not symmetric.
 - If $X <_p Y$ and $Y <_p Z$, then $X <_p Z$.
 - $X <_p Y \Rightarrow Y <_p X$

• A problem is NP-hard if **every problem** in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.

- A problem is NP-hard if **every problem** in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - In practice we only need to show reduction to **one** well known NP hard problem as reduction is **transitive**

- A problem is NP-hard if **every problem** in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - In practice we only need to show reduction to **one** well known NP hard problem as reduction is **transitive**
- NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.

- A problem is NP-hard if **every problem** in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - In practice we only need to show reduction to **one** well known NP hard problem as reduction is **transitive**
- NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.
- Solving an NP-hard problem efficiently (in polynomial time) would imply that P = NP.

- A problem is NP-hard if **every problem** in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - In practice we only need to show reduction to **one** well known NP hard problem as reduction is **transitive**
- NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.
- Solving an NP-hard problem efficiently (in polynomial time) would imply that P = NP.
- NP-hard problems do not have to be in NP; they might not even have solutions that can be verified in polynomial time.

- A problem is NP-hard if **every problem** in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - In practice we only need to show reduction to **one** well known NP hard problem as reduction is **transitive**
- NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.
- Solving an NP-hard problem efficiently (in polynomial time) would imply that P = NP.
- NP-hard problems do not have to be in NP; they might not even have solutions that can be verified in polynomial time.
- Examples: Halting Problem, Traveling Salesman Problem (general case), 3-SAT.

- A problem is NP-hard if every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - In practice we only need to show reduction to **one** well known NP hard problem as reduction is **transitive**
- NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.
- Solving an NP-hard problem efficiently (in polynomial time) would imply that P = NP.
- NP-hard problems do not have to be in NP; they might not even have solutions that can be verified in polynomial time.
- Examples: Halting Problem, Traveling Salesman Problem (general case), 3-SAT.
- $x \in NP Hard$ is a statement of hardness (a lower limit)

• NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - If a problem is in NP, it means that, given a "yes" answer, there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer is correct.

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - If a problem is in NP, it means that, given a "yes" answer, there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Examples: SAT (Satisfiability), Hamiltonian Path, Subset Sum.

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - If a problem is in NP, it means that, given a "yes" answer, there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Examples: SAT (Satisfiability), Hamiltonian Path, Subset Sum.
- NP-hard

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - If a problem is in NP, it means that, given a "yes" answer, there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Examples: SAT (Satisfiability), Hamiltonian Path, Subset Sum.
- NP-hard
 - A problem is NP-hard if every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - If a problem is in NP, it means that, given a "yes" answer, there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Examples: SAT (Satisfiability), Hamiltonian Path, Subset Sum.
- NP-hard
 - A problem is NP-hard if every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - If a problem is in NP, it means that, given a "yes" answer, there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Examples: SAT (Satisfiability), Hamiltonian Path, Subset Sum.
- NP-hard
 - A problem is NP-hard if every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.
 - Solving an NP-hard problem efficiently (in polynomial time) would imply that P = NP.

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - If a problem is in NP, it means that, given a "yes" answer, there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Examples: SAT (Satisfiability), Hamiltonian Path, Subset Sum.
- NP-hard
 - A problem is NP-hard if every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.
 - Solving an NP-hard problem efficiently (in polynomial time) would imply that P = NP.
 - NP-hard problems do not have to be in NP; they might not even have solutions that can be verified in polynomial time.

- NP (Nondeterministic Polynomial Time)
 - NP is a class of problems for which a given solution can be verified in polynomial time.
 - If a problem is in NP, it means that, given a "yes" answer, there is a certificate (or witness) that can be checked quickly (in polynomial time) to confirm the answer is correct.
 - Examples: SAT (Satisfiability), Hamiltonian Path, Subset Sum.
- NP-hard
 - A problem is NP-hard if every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
 - NP-hard problems are at least as hard as the hardest problems in NP.
 - Solving an NP-hard problem efficiently (in polynomial time) would imply that P = NP.
 - NP-hard problems do not have to be in NP; they might not even have solutions that can be verified in polynomial time.
 - Examples: Halting Problem, Traveling Salesman Problem (general case), 3-SAT.

- A problem is said to be NP-Complete if it is in both NP and NP-hard
- It is NP in that we can verify a certificate in polynomial time
- It is NP-hard, meaning it we can reduce all NP problems to it
 - Remember, in practice we only need to reduce one known NP-hard problem
- Problems in NP-complete have the property that they are difficult but if one is solved efficiently, all will be solved automatically

• NP-complete problems are a subset of NP problems that are both in NP and NP-hard.

- NP-complete problems are a subset of NP problems that are both in NP and NP-hard.
- A problem is NP-complete if it is in NP and as hard as any problem in NP, meaning every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.

- NP-complete problems are a subset of NP problems that are both in NP and NP-hard.
- A problem is NP-complete if it is in NP and as hard as any problem in NP, meaning every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
- If any NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial time, then all problems in NP can be solved in polynomial time (P = NP).

- NP-complete problems are a subset of NP problems that are both in NP and NP-hard.
- A problem is NP-complete if it is in NP and as hard as any problem in NP, meaning every problem in NP can be reduced to it in polynomial time.
- If any NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial time, then all problems in NP can be solved in polynomial time (P = NP).
- Examples: Satisfiability (SAT), Traveling Salesman Problem (decision version), 3-SAT.



NP-hard problems encompass both problems in NP and problems that are even harder.



- NP-hard problems encompass both problems in NP and problems that are even harder.
- All NP-complete problems are both in NP and NP-hard.


- NP-hard problems encompass both problems in NP and problems that are even harder.
- All NP-complete problems are both in NP and NP-hard.
- If any NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial time, then all NP problems can be solved in polynomial time (P = NP).



- NP-hard problems encompass both problems in NP and problems that are even harder.
- All NP-complete problems are both in NP and NP-hard.
- If any NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial time, then all NP problems can be solved in polynomial time (P = NP).
- However, solving an NP-hard problem does not necessarily provide a polynomial-time solution for all NP problems



- NP-hard problems encompass both problems in NP and problems that are even harder.
- All NP-complete problems are both in NP and NP-hard.
- If any NP-complete problem can be solved in polynomial time, then all NP problems can be solved in polynomial time (P = NP).
- However, solving an NP-hard problem does not necessarily provide a polynomial-time solution for all NP problems
 - Unless the problem is also NP-complete.

Image Source: https://medium.com/intuitionmath/p-np-would-mean-were-a-bunchof-dumb-apes-20c6e50f0ba3

• Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?
 - Assume this solution is a produce called clque(G, k) and has a running time of n^c

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?
 - Assume this solution is a produce called clque(G, k) and has a running time of n^c
 - We can use search (binary or linear) for $k = |G| \rightarrow 0$

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?
 - Assume this solution is a produce called clque(G, k) and has a running time of n^c
 - We can use search (binary or linear) for $k = |G| \rightarrow 0$
 - This takes $|G| * n^c$, which is still polynomial

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?
 - Assume this solution is a produce called clque(G, k) and has a running time of n^c
 - We can use search (binary or linear) for $k = |G| \rightarrow 0$
 - This takes $|G| * n^c$, which is still polynomial
- What about identifying what the clique is?

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?
 - Assume this solution is a produce called clque(G, k) and has a running time of n^c
 - We can use search (binary or linear) for $k = |G| \rightarrow 0$
 - This takes $|G| * n^c$, which is still polynomial
- What about identifying what the clique is?
 - First find the maximum value k

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?
 - Assume this solution is a produce called clque(G, k) and has a running time of n^c
 - We can use search (binary or linear) for $k = |G| \rightarrow 0$
 - This takes $|G| * n^c$, which is still polynomial
- What about identifying what the clique is?
 - First find the maximum value k
 - Then, try to remove a vertex one at a time and see if the remaining graph is clique

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?
 - Assume this solution is a produce called clque(G, k) and has a running time of n^c
 - We can use search (binary or linear) for $k = |G| \rightarrow 0$
 - This takes $|G| * n^c$, which is still polynomial
- What about identifying what the clique is?
 - First find the maximum value k
 - Then, try to remove a vertex one at a time and see if the remaining graph is clique
 - if it is not, this vertex is required in the largest clique so put it back and continue to the other nodes

- Let's assume we can solve the clique decision problem in polynomial time
 - i.e., Is there a clique of size k in graph G
- Can we use it to find the size of the largest clique efficiently?
 - Assume this solution is a produce called clque(G, k) and has a running time of n^c
 - We can use search (binary or linear) for $k = |G| \rightarrow 0$
 - This takes $|G| * n^c$, which is still polynomial
- What about identifying what the clique is?
 - First find the maximum value k
 - Then, try to remove a vertex one at a time and see if the remaining graph is clique
 - if it is not, this vertex is required in the largest clique so put it back and continue to the other nodes
 - Stop when you are left with k vertices

The Independent Set Problem

• Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists an independent set of size *k*.

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists an independent set of size *k*.
- An independent set is a subset of vertices such that no two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists an independent set of size *k*.
- An independent set is a subset of vertices such that no two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- The problem can take different forms:
 - Is the graph G an independent set?

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists an independent set of size *k*.
- An independent set is a subset of vertices such that no two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- The problem can take different forms:
 - Is the graph G an independent set?
 - Is there an independent set within G?

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists an independent set of size *k*.
- An independent set is a subset of vertices such that no two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- The problem can take different forms:
 - Is the graph G an independent set?
 - Is there an independent set within G?
 - Is there an independent set within G of size k?

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists an independent set of size *k*.
- An independent set is a subset of vertices such that no two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- The problem can take different forms:
 - Is the graph G an independent set?
 - Is there an independent set within G?
 - Is there an independent set within G of size k?
 - Which nodes make such an independent set?

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists an independent set of size *k*.
- An independent set is a subset of vertices such that no two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- The problem can take different forms:
 - Is the graph G an independent set?
 - Is there an independent set within G?
 - Is there an independent set within G of size k?
 - Which nodes make such an independent set?
- No known polynomial-time solution exists for the last three forms.

- Given an undirected graph, determine if there exists an independent set of size *k*.
- An independent set is a subset of vertices such that no two vertices in the subset are connected by an edge.
- The problem can take different forms:
 - Is the graph G an independent set?
 - Is there an independent set within G?
 - Is there an independent set within G of size k?
 - Which nodes make such an independent set?
- No known polynomial-time solution exists for the last three forms.
- **Brute Force Solution**: Check all possible subsets of vertices of size *k* to see if they form an independent set, which takes exponential time.















The Independent Set Problem: NP Complete

- We want to show that the Independent Set Problem problem is NP Complete
- This means showing that it is both NP and NP hard
- To show it is NP means that if a certificate is generated for the solution we can verify it with polynomial efficiency
- To show it is NP-Hard means to show that every NP-problem can be polynomially reduced to it
 - In practice, we don't need to show reduction for every problem
 - We only need to show this for a well known NP-hard problem
 - We'll assume the clique problem is NP-hard and we show the reduction for it

• **Reduction:** Transform an instance of the Clique problem into an instance of the Independent Set problem.

- **Reduction:** Transform an instance of the Clique problem into an instance of the Independent Set problem.
- Given a graph G and an integer k, the Clique problem asks if there is a clique of size k in G.

- **Reduction:** Transform an instance of the Clique problem into an instance of the Independent Set problem.
- Given a graph G and an integer k, the Clique problem asks if there is a clique of size k in G.
- Construct a new graph G' by taking the complement of G (i.e., create G' where two vertices are adjacent in G' if and only if they are not adjacent in G).

- **Reduction:** Transform an instance of the Clique problem into an instance of the Independent Set problem.
- Given a graph G and an integer k, the Clique problem asks if there is a clique of size k in G.
- Construct a new graph G' by taking the complement of G (i.e., create G' where two vertices are adjacent in G' if and only if they are not adjacent in G).
- In the new graph G', an independent set of size k in G' corresponds to a clique of size k in G.

- **Reduction:** Transform an instance of the Clique problem into an instance of the Independent Set problem.
- Given a graph G and an integer k, the Clique problem asks if there is a clique of size k in G.
- Construct a new graph G' by taking the complement of G (i.e., create G' where two vertices are adjacent in G' if and only if they are not adjacent in G).
- In the new graph G', an independent set of size k in G' corresponds to a clique of size k in G.
- Therefore, solving the Independent Set problem on G' can be used to solve the Clique problem on G.
Reduction: Clique Problem to Independent Set

- **Reduction:** Transform an instance of the Clique problem into an instance of the Independent Set problem.
- Given a graph G and an integer k, the Clique problem asks if there is a clique of size k in G.
- Construct a new graph G' by taking the complement of G (i.e., create G' where two vertices are adjacent in G' if and only if they are not adjacent in G).
- In the new graph G', an independent set of size k in G' corresponds to a clique of size k in G.
- Therefore, solving the Independent Set problem on G' can be used to solve the Clique problem on G.
- This reduction shows that the Independent Set problem is NP-hard because the Clique problem is NP-hard.

• Constructing a new graph G' by taking the complement of G involves:

- Constructing a new graph G' by taking the complement of G involves:
 - Initializing G' with the same set of vertices as G.

- Constructing a new graph G' by taking the complement of G involves:
 - Initializing G' with the same set of vertices as G.
 - For each pair of vertices (u, v):
 - Check if there is an edge between *u* and *v* in *G*.
 - If there is no edge in G, add an edge between u and v in G'.

- Constructing a new graph G' by taking the complement of G involves:
 - Initializing G' with the same set of vertices as G.
 - For each pair of vertices (u, v):
 - Check if there is an edge between *u* and *v* in *G*.
 - If there is no edge in G, add an edge between u and v in G'.
- Time Complexity Analysis:
 - There are $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ pairs of vertices to check.
 - Each check and potential edge addition takes O(1) time.

- Constructing a new graph G' by taking the complement of G involves:
 - Initializing G' with the same set of vertices as G.
 - For each pair of vertices (u, v):
 - Check if there is an edge between *u* and *v* in *G*.
 - If there is no edge in G, add an edge between u and v in G'.
- Time Complexity Analysis:
 - There are $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ pairs of vertices to check.
 - Each check and potential edge addition takes O(1) time.
- Overall Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$.

- Constructing a new graph G' by taking the complement of G involves:
 - Initializing G' with the same set of vertices as G.
 - For each pair of vertices (u, v):
 - Check if there is an edge between *u* and *v* in *G*.
 - If there is no edge in G, add an edge between u and v in G'.
- Time Complexity Analysis:
 - There are $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ pairs of vertices to check.
 - Each check and potential edge addition takes O(1) time.
- Overall Time Complexity: $O(n^2)$.
- This means our reduction is a polynomial reduction

• Start with the original graph *G* for the Clique problem.



Graph G (Clique problem)

Reduction: Clique to Independent Set

- Start with the original graph G for the Clique problem.
- Create the complement graph G':
 - Two vertices are connected in G' if and only if they are not connected in G.



Graph G (Clique problem)



Reduction: Clique to Independent Set

- Start with the original graph *G* for the Clique problem.
- Create the complement graph G':
 - Two vertices are connected in G' if and only if they are not connected in G.
- An independent set in G' corresponds to a clique in G.



Graph G (Clique problem)

Graph G' (Independent Set problem)

Reduction: Clique to Independent Set

- Start with the original graph *G* for the Clique problem.
- Create the complement graph G':
 - Two vertices are connected in G' if and only if they are not connected in G.
- An independent set in G' corresponds to a clique in G.
 - For example, the independent set (A, B, C, D, E) in G' corresponds to the clique (A, B, C, D, E) in G.



Graph G (Clique problem)

Graph G' (Independent Set problem)

• In this particular example reduction is symmetric

- In this particular example reduction is symmetric
 - If clique p independetset and independetset

- In this particular example reduction is symmetric
 - If clique p independetset and independetset
 - This is not the general case
 - $X <_p Y \Rightarrow Y <_p X$

Conclusion







- P = NP ?
 - Part of the millennium prize challenges





- P = NP ?
 - Part of the millennium prize challenges
- *NP* = *co*-*NP* ?
- $P = NP \cap co-NP$?
 - **EXP**: Verification takes exponential time
 - **PSpace** Problems that can be verified in polynomial space given unlimited time
 - **BPP** Problems that can be solved with probabilistic algorithm in polynomial time
 - BQP Solvable via quantum computing
 - Others: EXSpace, 2-Exp and even unsolvable

- Understanding computational intractability and reductions helps classify problems and develop efficient algorithms.
- The study of NP-complete problems and their relationships with other problems is crucial in computer science.
- In practice, we use reduction to show that a problem is difficult to solve of practical purposes (i.e., intractable)
- An entire sub-field of CS/Math, called Computational complexity theory, is dedicated to studying these issues